Quantification of cancer cell migration with an integrated experimental-computational pipeline
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# Abstract

We describe an integrated experimental-computational pipeline for quantifying cell migration *in vitro*. This pipeline is robust to image noise, open source, and user friendly. The experimental component uses the Oris cell migration assay (Platypus Technologies) to create migration regions. The computational component of the pipeline creates masks in Matlab (MathWorks) to cell-covered regions, uses a genetic algorithm to automatically select the migration region, and outputs a metric to quantify cell migration. In this work we demonstrate the utility of our pipeline by quantifying the effects of a drug (Taxol) and of the extracellular Anterior Gradient 2 (eAGR2) protein on the migration of MDA-MB-231 cells (a breast cancer cell line). In particular, we show that inhibiting eAGR2 reduces migration of MDA-MB-231 cells.
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# Introduction

In order to understand and treat cancer, we need to study and ultimately control metastasis [1]. A key aspect of metastasis is cell migration [1]. Thus, assays that can reliably provide quantitative readouts of cell migration are an important component of cancer research. Here we describe an integrated computational pipeline to quantify cell migration using fluorescent microscopy.

The anterior gradient protein 2 (AGR2) has been shown to promote cell migration [2]. High expression of AGR2 is correlated with aggressive forms of various adenocarcinomas including prostate [3] and breast cancer [4]. Therefore, AGR2 is a biomarker and therapeutic target that will provide a suitable biological readout of cell migration for our pipeline to quantify. In other words, because AGR2 is known to promote cell migration, it is ideal for testing a computational platform’s ability to detect changes in cell migration.

In this work, we describe an experimental and computational pipeline to quantitate cell migration. We demonstrate this pipeline by quantifying migration of MDA-MB-231 cells, a breast cancer cell line known to migrate aggressively [5]. We show that blocking the extracellular AGR2 (eAGR2) with a neutralizing antibody that binds specifically to AGR2 (referred to as AGR2-Ab) in cell medium prevents the migration of the MDA-MB-231 cells. Our pipeline aids in the verification of well-established hypotheses and it can be used to test new hypotheses, thus aiding in and accelerating the drug discovery process.

# Methods

**Cell culture**

**Cell migration assay**

The Oris™ migration assay (Platypus Technologies, Madison, WI, USA) uses a physical barrier “stopper” to create a defined circular region that is intended to prevent cell adhesion at the start of the assay. This central cell-free detection zone is in the center of each well of a 96-well plate. As the cells migrate to the cell-free zone over 24-48 hours, real-time assessment of migratory cells allows acquisition of richer data sets. Since there are no artificial membranes or inserts in the light path through which cells must pass, this assay is amenable to quantification with microscopy. We used the Oris cell migration assay from Platypus Technologies to create migration regions by inserting stoppers in each of the 96 wells on a plate. Shortly after inserting the stoppers, we seeded MDA-MB-231 cells and waited until they reached 80% confluent (approximately 24hours). We then fed cells with either treated or untreated media. Next we removed the stoppers and allowed the cells to move into the migration region. 48 hours after removal of the stoppers, we imaged each well using a fluorescence microscope.

**Implementation**

Operation

**Automatic selection of migration region**

We first created a mask corresponding to the area covered by cells using standard deviation filtering and applying a series of morphological operations in [Matlab](https://uk.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html) R2016a (MathWorks) as shown in Figure 1.

<Insert Figure 1>

Note that these images are gray scale (green is used throughout to highlight software outputs as is shown in the right panel of Figure 1), hence every pixel’s value belongs to the interval [0,1] where a completely black pixel has value 0 and a completely white pixel has value 1. Also note that a mask is a binary matrix that indicate which pixels belong to the mask (with value of 1, these pixels are referred to as “cell pixels”) and which pixels do not belong to the mask (with value 0).

We then used a genetic algorithm to determine the coordinates of the center and the radius of a circle according to equation (1). This optimal circle determines the migration region.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | (1) |

where and are the optimal parameters of the migration region, is the Matlab mask we are evaluating (i.e., a circle with center at coordinates and radius ), so is the sum of all the pixel intensities () which belong to the mask , is the cardinality of (i.e., the number of pixels which belong to ), and is a penalty parameter. If , we have:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | (2) |

Hence, the maximization problem from equation (1) is equivalent to the minimization represented in equation (2) (when ). From equation (2), we can interpret the optimization performed by the genetic algorithm as finding “the largest circle which contains the least number of cell pixels.” Figure 2 shows the optimal circular region selected by the genetic algorithm when the input is the image from Figure 1.

<Insert Figure 2>

**Percent of migration region covered by cells:** We defined a metric to quantify the migration of MDA-MB-231 cells. This metric is , the percentage of migration pixels inside the migration region. We define a migration pixel as any pixel whose intensity value is greater than or equal to a threshold . We chose equal to 1.25 times the median pixel intensity of the migration region immediately after the stopper was removed (i.e., the green region in Figure 2). This is:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | (3) |

Where is the optimal circle defined by the three parameters ( from equations (1) and (2)) and the set includes all of the pixels inside with intensities higher than .

**Preprint**

A previous version of this manuscript is available from bioRxiv: <https://doi.org/10.1101/130526> [10]

# Results and Discussion

To test the hypothesis that MDA-MB-231 cells’ migration is reduced in the absence of AGR2, we designed an experiment (utilizing the cell migration assay described in the Methods section) with 5 experimental conditions: a positive control (untreated cells), a negative control (wells where the stopper was not removed), cells treated with 10nM of Taxol (a non-cytotoxic dose level which prevents cell migration but does not promote cell death [6]), cells treated with a 1:50 dilution of AGR2-ab to inactivate eAGR2, and with a 1:50 dilution of IgG, a control antibody (Ctrl-Ab) which does not affect cell migration. Representative images from these conditions (i.e., replicate 1) are shown in Figure 3 (top).

<Insert Figure 3>

For the untreated case and the control peptide we observe increased migration, with 46±2 (mean ± standard error of the mean) percent of the migration region covered in the untreated case and 42±1.7 percent of the migration region covered in the control antibody case. We fail to reject the null hypothesis that these two are the sample means from the same distribution (p value of 0.084). In the Taxol case, 21±1.9 percent of the migration region is covered. We reject the null hypothesis that the mean of the Taxol population and the mean of the untreated case are sample means from the same distribution (p value of 8.16e-5). Similarly, for the AGR2-Ab case, 13±3.2 percent of the migration region is covered. We reject the null hypothesis that the mean of the AGR2-Ab population and the mean of the untreated case are sample means from the same distribution (p value of 2.19e-5). Not only do we confirm the hypothesis that MDA-MB-231 cells’ migration is reduced in the absence of AGR2, but our method allows for reproducible quantification of these qualitative observations. Furthermore, the algorithms used to compute requires a single input from the user (a string with the names of the control experiments) and it can run on a desktop machine with Matlab (R2015a and above) installed.

# Conclusions and Future Work

We have designed and implemented a pipeline for quantifying cell migration *in vitro*. It is worth noting that this metric may not discern between cell motility and proliferation, hence in order to use it to estimate parameters for a mechanistic model, a parameter estimator such as CellPD [7] should be used to estimate parameters of processes which decouple proliferation and motility [8,9]. However this metric is robust to image noise, open source, replicable, replicable and user friendly. In particular, we show that H10 aids in the reduction of migration of MDA-MB-231 cells by blocking sAGR2. This pipeline can be expanded to various cancer cell lines and model systems.

# Data availability

Raw microscope images from this analysis are available from the project GitHub repository: <https://github.com/edjuaro/cell-migration-quantification>

**Software availability**

The source code used throughout this manuscript can be accessed in the public GitHub repository: <https://github.com/edjuaro/cell-migration-quantification>.
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**Figures**

Figure 1 – Sample images immediately after the stopper was removed. In order to identify the migration region, we took images of each well (left), then we select a mask that covers the area utilized by cells, highlighted in green (right).

Figure 2 – Automatically-selected migration region. The genetic algorithm selects the largest circle which contains the least number of masked pixels from the cell area mask. This optimal circle is the migration region.

Figure 3 – Quantifying cell migration. Representative images (replicate 1) of each condition are shown (top). 10nM of Taxol and the10µg/mL of the H10 peptide show similar levels of migration inhibition compared to the positive and negative controls. Our metric (bottom) allows us to quantify the qualitative results (top).